Usability

Stephen Colebourne Member of technical staff OpenGamma Ltd





The great frontier

- Lots of new APIs
- Lots of new languages
- But not all are very usable
- Why is that?

Usability affects everyone!

Everyone

- Lets assume we're all clever
- Lets assume we're all highly capable
- If so, then we can learn and deal with complexity
- But it takes time and effort
- Wouldn't simple and usable be even better?

Git

- I don't especially like Git
- Consensus that it is generally good technically
- Consensus that it is generally hard to use
- For me, the (lack of) usability is more important than the technical ability
- At OpenGamma, we pay for SmartGit GUI

much better usability (though not perfect)

Why is Git hard?

- Names of commands
- Error messages
- Complex underlying model

Mental models

- Mental models are how we view the world
- Once we've built one its hard to change
- Relate new concepts to what we know already
- eg. version control (CVS/SVN)
 - work locally
 - when ready, commit to shared repo
 - then everyone can see the work
- Git isn't really like this
 - it has a much larger set of concepts

Git makes me feel stupid!

Coping strategies

- We sometimes develop strategies to cope
- Allow us to work with problem system
- eg. for Git
 - "always commit before pulling"
 - "use a pretty GUI"
 - "treat it like SVN with extra steps"
- But never get to understand Git's model
 - non-standard tasks become major problem
 - eg. merging two forks

Coping strategies

- What if you didn't understand anything deeply?
- What if each task was a coping strategy?
- More common than you might think?

Generics

• Explain what this means:

}

public class Enum<E extends Enum<E>>
 implements Comparable<E> {

Generics

• Explain what this means:

}

```
public static
  <T> void copy(List<? super T> dest,
      List<? extends T> src) {
```

Generics

• Explain what this means:

public static

}

<T extends Object & Comparable<? super T>> T min(Collection<? extends T> coll) {

Why is generics hard?

- No wildcards in Generics until last minute
- Implementation proven too complex
- Life without wildcards is simpler

```
Number[] nums = new Number[3];
Object[] objs = nums;
Integer[] ints = nums;
// get runtime error if necessary
```

Who understands generics?

- How many people on the entire planet understand them fully?
- Very, very few
- I find that concerning, do you?

- The rest of us use coping strategies
- Mine is trial and error

Generics makes me feel stupid!

So how did generics happen?

- The experts that designed them were clever
- They understood the problem
- They could discuss and trade off the issues
- But who asked the awkward question

- "is it usable by people outside this room"?

• If it was asked, they got the wrong answer

Its not that developers are stupid!

- No programmer is stupid
- We've all got skills and ability
- But we are frequently lazy
- We only learn what we need to
- Given a wildcard/variance problem, it is possible to explain it to a developer, and they will understand
- But they will typically forget within a few hours as its not interesting to them, or its not relevant to their main assigned task

• What does this code do?

def foo[A](list : List[A]): List[A] =
 list.foldLeft(List[A]())((r,c) => c :: r)

• What does this code do?

```
def foo(val : Int): Int = {
  val *= 30
  val = (val / 2) + 7
  - (6 * 2)
```

}

```
def bar[A](list : List[A]): List[(A,int)] =
list.foldLeft(List[(A,int)]()) {(r,c) =>
  r match {
   case (v,t) :: tail =>
    if (v == c) (c, t+1) :: tail
    else (c,1) :: r
   case Nil =>
    (c,1) :: r
  }
 .reverse
```

- I don't like Scala
- Its a big missed opportunity
- Good technical stuff
- Consistent reports of being hard to use
- Also hard to write an IDE for

- Collection library very complex
- Method signatures so complex that documentation had to be extended to give simpler examples
- Very different style to Java
 - Functional emphasised
 - But being sold by some as next Java

- Scala tackles technical challenges
 - parallel collections
 - functional
 - define a boolean using the language
 - turing complete generics
- Fails to tackle basic productivity issues
 - modules
 - built in immutability
 - pain of handling null

Fantom

• What does this code do?

```
Str:Int foo(Str[] strs) {
  res := Str:Int[:]
  strs.each {
   res[it] = res[it]?.increment ?: 1
  }
}
```

Fantom

- Tackles real developer challenges
 - Modules
 - Immutability
 - No shared state
 - Nullable types
- Not quite enough power or use of type system for my taste

New language

- Take a piece of reasonably complex code
- Give it to a mid-level developer
- Someone not interested in new languages
- Can they understand the code
- No training, no help

/**

* Copyright 2009 FooBar Ltd.

*

* Licensed under the Apache License v2 */

header {

}

copyright: FooBar Ltd.

copyrightYears: 2009

licenseName: ApacheLicense

licenseVersion: 2

/**

- * Creates a money.
- * @param currency the currency, not null
- * @param amount the amount, null means 0
- * @return the currency, not null
 */

Money create (Currency c, Decimal bd)

/**

* Creates a money.

*/

Money create (Currency c, Decimal? bd = 0)

- List<String> filt(Map<String, Integer> m) {
 - List<String> res=new ArrayList<String>();
 - for (Entry<String, Integer> e : m) {
 - if (e.getValue() > 20) {

```
res.add(e.getKey());
```

```
return res;
```

```
String[] filt(Integer[String] m) {
  var res = String[]();
  loop (key, val : m) if (val > 20) {
    res.add(key);
  }
  return res;
```

}

Questions

Stephen Colebourne Member of technical staff OpenGamma Ltd



